

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಒಕ್ಕಲಿಗರು ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ಪಂಗಡವರೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—There are grades of backwardness also among the backward communities.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—105 ಜನರ ಪೈಕಿ 31ಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಬಾಕಿಯವರು ನಾಲಾಯಕ್ ಎಂತರೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ನಾಲಾಯಕ್ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಜನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗನುಗುಣವಾಗಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಜನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗನುಗುಣವಾಗಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ತತ್ವವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಇದುವರೆಗೆ ಆಗಲೇ over-representation ಆಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ತಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಎಷ್ಟು ಸಾಧ್ಯವೋ, ಯಾವುದು ಸೂಕ್ತವೋ ನೋಡಿ ಮಾಡಿ ದ್ದಾರೆ ಕಮಿಷಿಯವರು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಏನಾದರೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಕಡಮೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ, ಸರಿಯಾದ ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯವನ್ನು ದೊರಕಿಸಿ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಮಿಷಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ರೋಪವೇನೂ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ; ಕಂಡು ಬಂದರೆ ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಇದು ರೋಪವಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ರೋಪವೆಂದು ಒಪ್ಪುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಕಛಾಳಿನಿಷಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಇಷ್ಟು ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಸಾಕೆಂದು ಕಮಿಷಿಯವರ ಭಾವನೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಸಾಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ, under the circumstances the best has been done.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ತಾವು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದರೆ Fair Representation ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಈಗ Fair Representation ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆಯೇ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಬೇರೆ ನಿಯಮಗಳೂ ಇವೆ. Will you kindly read those two Government Orders dated 27th June 1952 and 17th July 1952?

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—I have read them.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Questions are all over.

Statistics relating to Questions.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I would like to bring to the notice of the House that so far as questions and answers are concerned, out of a total of 980 questions admitted, answers have been furnished to 960 questions. Of these 20 questions remaining unanswered, 15 relate to March-April sittings and 5 to the present session.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—As some of them are inconvenient questions.

Mr. SPEAKER.—In respect of short notice questions, out of 33 questions admitted, answers have been furnished to 24. The answering of 960 out of 980 questions is a satisfactory feature of this session. It is to be hoped that in future sessions also answers will be furnished to all questions admitted, as contemplated by the rules and required by convention. As short notice questions relate to urgent matters of public importance, it is to be hoped that all questions admitted would be answered as early as possible.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ (ತುರುವೇಕೆರೆ).—20 ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೂ ಆ ಮೇಲೆ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ. It will be printed.

Sri P. R. RAMAIA (Basavangudi).—Some questions were put by me and allowed by the Speaker, but answers have not been furnished to them. I would like to know if these questions lapsed or if the Government would furnish answers to them.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Answers have not yet been received; they will be furnished in due course.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Malur).—On a point of information. I have sent two short notice questions—one relating to deaths on account of famine in Kolar District and the other relating to the resignation of members of Policy Committees. I have been given an endorsement to the effect that the Speaker regrets that they cannot be answered. I want to know the reason...

Mr. SPEAKER.—I need not assign any reasons.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—I want to know whether these questions are relevant or irrelevant.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It cannot be discussed.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ (ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರು-ಮೂಡಿ ಗೆರೆ—ಅನುಸೂಚಿತಜಾತಿಗಳು).—ಕೋಲಾರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಒಂದು short notice question ಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಏಕೆ ಬರಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಾ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Answers have not yet been received.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಭಾಕರ್ (ನಲಮಂಗಲ-ಅನುಸೂಚಿತ ಜಾತಿಗಳು).—ಹಿಂದಿನ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ 15 ನೆಯ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಇನ್ನೂ ಹೇಳಿಲ್ಲ. ಕಾರಣವೇನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಾ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I need not assign any reasons.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ (ಗೋರಿಬಿದನೂರು).—ಒಂದು point of information. ಈ ಅದಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲಿ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿರುವ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದು.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS (Hunsur).—I had sent a short notice question with regard to the removal of railway line on the bridge of Krishnarajasagar.

Mr. SPEAKER.—They have not yet sent their answer.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಅಂಜನೇಯರೆಡ್ಡಿ (ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ).—ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ ಅಕ್ಯುಪೇಷನ್ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಟಿನ ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿ ಒಂದು short notice ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ನಾನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೆ. ಅದೇನಾಯಿತು?

Mr. SPEAKER.—You should have sent it in time. It cannot be treated as a short notice question. It is disallowed.

District Board Elections.

*Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—I would like to draw the attention of this House through you to a report published in some of the local papers that the District Board elections may be postponed till about April and that the Government or the ruling party is thinking of some amendments to the existing Act. I would like to know whether the Government had promised definitely that the elections to the District Boards would be held by about the end of August 1953 and whether the reconsidered the decision or the promise held out to this House and whether they are really thinking of amending the Act, if so on what lines. The House may be enlightened on this point.

*Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—Sir, the history of the District

Board Bill reveals certain very interesting features, both regarding administration and party and local politics. When Government promises a certain thing, they must implement it. When they go back on their promise, they must assign satisfactory reasons. But they should not take us by surprise. The District Board Bill looks as if.....

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—On a point of order, Sir, whether any discussion on a point which is not in the form of a motion can take place.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is true. But this is a matter of public importance. In view of this, I feel that if the Government so desire, they may make a statement. Otherwise, they need not.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Thank you very much, Sir.

If I go back a little into the history of this Bill, a Committee was appointed under the chairmanship of Sri V. Venkatappa, the then Speaker, which drafted its recommendations. Those recommendations were accepted by the then Government. They brought a Bill before this House and it was accepted; the Village Panchayats and District Board Act became law. It was about to be implemented when the Ministry was changed and the present Ministry came into office. For some reason or other, the present Ministry wanted to make a drastic change regarding the mode of elections. The original Bill contemplated indirect elections in order to save undue expenditure and also to establish a sort of co-ordination between the Village Panchayats and the District Boards. But the present Ministry sought to introduce direct adult franchise for the election of the members. This was hotly resented by the Opposition. We staged a walk out in protest. Perhaps, realising their folly the Government gave up further attempts to introduce adult franchise and they gave up that measure. For subsequent questions put in this House, the Minister